


(Download free pdf) OSHA and the Politics of Health Regulation (Environment, Development and Public Policy: Public Policy and Social Services)

OSHA and the Politics of Health Regulation (Environment, Development and Public Policy: Public Policy and Social Services)

David P. McCaffrey

*ePub | *DOC | audiobook | ebooks | Download PDF*



[Download](#)

[Read Online](#)

#6193787 in Books 1982-08-31 Original language: English PDF # 1 9.50 x 6.50 x .751, .0 #File Name: 0306410508192 pages | File size: 67.Mb

David P. McCaffrey : OSHA and the Politics of Health Regulation (Environment, Development and Public Policy: Public Policy and Social Services) before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised OSHA and the Politics of Health Regulation (Environment, Development and Public Policy:

Public Policy and Social Services):

By way of introduction to this fascinating book, let me highlight two of its many contributions. First, it is a good example of something all too rare in sociology: testing competing general theories. Most of us either try to develop or refine theories about how the social world works, and cite convenient data as support, or we select and collect data that will fit some general theoretical position. In the first case, the data play a subordinate role—bits of evidence for our view of life. In the second, the theory plays a subordinate role—a way to make sense of the social behavior we have observed. McCaffrey's position subsumes these two. He has gathered data on an important social agency, but with an implicit problem in mind: which of the several theories about the social world he was exposed to in graduate school would do the best job of interpreting the data? Or, we might just as well turn it around. In a graduate department such as Sociology at the State University of New York at Stony Brook, there is a lively, never ending debate about the "truth" of competing perspectives on the political and social world. By selecting a data base and remaining alert to the kind of evidence each theory required, McCaffrey circumvented the usual "data for a theory" vs. "a theory for the data" dilemma that most of us live with.